

Response to First Office Action
Docket No. 002.0181.US.UTL

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AUG 12 2004

5 *In re* Application of
 Kouznetsov et al.)
 Serial No. 09/740,617) Group Art Unit: 2143
 Filed: December 18, 2000)
10 For: System And Method For Distributing Portable)
 Computer Virus Definition Records With)
 Binary File Conversion)

OFFICIAL

RESPONSE TO FIRST OFFICE ACTION

15 Assistant Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

20 Examiner Kianersi:

 In response to the Office action mailed on May 12, 2004, for the above-
referenced patent application, please consider the following remarks.

 Claims 1-20 are pending. No claims have been amended. Claims 1-20
remain in this application.

25 Claims 1-20 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of
obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,150
(‘150), issued on September 16, 2003 to Kouznetsov et al. A Terminal Disclaimer
is enclosed disclaiming the terminal part of any patent granted on the instant
patent application that would extend beyond the expiration date of the ‘150
30 patent. Withdrawal of the rejection for double-patenting is respectfully requested.

 Claims 1-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over
U.S. Patent No. 6,357,008, issued to Nachenberg (“Nachenberg”), and further in
view of U.S. Patent No. 6,314,425, issued to Serbinis et al. (“Serbinis”).
Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

35 To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness: (1) there must be some
suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge
generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or

OA Response

- 1 -